UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,417	06/05/2006	Fabien Lanteires	PSA0307692	5451
29980 NICOLAS E. S	7590 09/19/200 ECKEL	EXAMINER		
Patent Attorney		CHANG, CHING		
1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3748		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/562,417	LANTEIRES, FABIEN	
Examiner	A (1 1 ! 4	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CHING CHANG	3748	

	CHING CHANG	3748					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	S				
HE REPLY FILED 02 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)	n places the a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection. FIRST REPLY WAS FILED	WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the app					
AMENDMENTS	unt muianta tha data of filing a buiaf	will not be entered become					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO¯ w);	ΓE below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beth appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	aucing or simplifying the is	ssues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTC	L-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		·					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 21 and 22.		l be entered and an explai	nation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5-8,14 and 16-18</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,9-13,15,19 and 20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	, , , , ,	condition for allowance b	ecause:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Ching Chang/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3748					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner disagrees with the Attorney's contention, "Sickler fails to teach or suggst the method of the present invention which includes "a combustion phase for the air/fuel mixture contained in the chamber," as recited in present claim 1. "(See Page 3, Attorney's Remarks). As a matter of fact, Sickler reference does disclose "However, in each instance the engine continues to operate in the standard four-stroke cycle mode so as to produce one compression release event per cylinder for every two crankshaft revolutions." (See Col. 2, lines 23-27), and "During the retarding mode of operation, the fuel supply is shut off or reduced so that little or no fuel is injected into the engine cylinder." (See Col. 4, lines 15-17), accordingly, the Examiner deems that the Sickler method would include a combustion phase for the air/fuel mixture contained in the chamber, as recited in present claim 1, during an operating cycle which include a retarding event, in order to continuously support a proper engine operation.